

# HAHN’S PROBLEM WITH RESPECT TO SOME PERTURBATIONS OF THE RAISING OPERATOR $X - c$

Baghdadi Aloui

University of Gabes, Higher Institute of Industrial Systems of Gabes  
 Salah Eddine Elayoubi Str., 6033 Gabes, Tunisia  
[Baghdadi.Aloui@fsg.rnu.tn](mailto:Baghdadi.Aloui@fsg.rnu.tn)

Jihad Souissi

University of Gabes, Faculty of Sciences of Gabes  
 Erriadh Str., 6072 Gabes, Tunisia  
[jihadsouissi@gmail.com](mailto:jihadsouissi@gmail.com)

**Abstract:** In this paper, we study the Hahn’s problem with respect to some raising operators perturbed of the operator  $X - c$ , where  $c$  is an arbitrary complex number. More precisely, the two following characterizations hold: up to a normalization, the  $q$ -Hermite (resp. Charlier) polynomial is the only  $H_{\alpha,q}$ -classical (resp.  $\mathcal{S}_\lambda$ -classical) orthogonal polynomial, where  $H_{\alpha,q} := X + \alpha H_q$  and  $\mathcal{S}_\lambda := (X + 1) - \lambda \tau_{-1}$ .

**Keywords:** Orthogonal polynomials, Linear functional,  $\mathcal{O}$ -classical polynomials, Raising operators,  $q$ -Hermite polynomials, Charlier polynomials.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be a linear operator acting on the space of polynomials which sends polynomials of degree  $n$  to polynomials of degree  $n + n_0$ , where  $n_0$  is a fixed integer ( $n \geq 0$  if  $n_0 \geq 0$  and  $n \geq |n_0|$  if  $n_0 < 0$ ). We call a sequence  $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  of orthogonal polynomials  $\mathcal{O}$ -classical if  $\{\mathcal{O}P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is also orthogonal.

In particular, if  $\mathcal{O} = D$ , the standard derivative, we recover the know family of classical orthogonal polynomials (Hermite, Laguerre, Bessel and Jacobi). This characterization is called Hahn’s characterization (see [11, 18]) of the classical orthogonal polynomials. If  $\mathcal{O} = H_q$ , where

$$H_q f(x) = \frac{h_q f(x) - f(x)}{(q-1)x}, \quad q \neq 1, \quad h_q f(x) = f(qx),$$

we recover the so-called  $H_q$ -classical polynomials (for more details, see [12]). We can also cite [14], where the authors described the all  $D_\omega$ -classical orthogonal polynomials, with

$$D_\omega f(x) := \frac{\tau_{-\omega} f(x) - f(x)}{\omega}, \quad \omega \neq 0, \quad \tau_{-\omega} f(x) = f(x + \omega).$$

The literature on these topics is extremely vast. See further examples in [1–5, 7, 8, 11, 12, 14].

In this paper we consider some *raising operators* related to the operator  $X$ . It is easy to see that the orthogonality is not preserved by  $X$ , then we can consider and study some perturbed operators. Here we consider the following two operators ( $c = 0$  or  $c = 1$ ):

$$H_{\alpha,q} := X + \alpha H_q \tag{1.1}$$

$$\mathcal{S}_\lambda := (X + 1) - \lambda \tau_{-1}, \tag{1.2}$$

and we study the same problem, called Hahn's problem. More precisely, we find all orthogonal polynomial sequences  $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  such that  $\{\mathcal{O}P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ ,  $\mathcal{O} = H_{\alpha,q}$  or  $\mathcal{S}_\lambda$ , are also orthogonal. As a result, we conclude that the  $q$ -Hermite polynomial sequence is the only  $H_{\alpha,q}$ -classical sequence and the Charlier polynomial sequence is the only  $\mathcal{S}_\lambda$ -classical sequence.

The structure of the paper is the following. In Section 2, a basic background about forms of orthogonal polynomials is given. In Section 3, we show that, up to a dilatation, the  $q$ -Hermite (resp. Charlier) polynomial is the only  $H_{\alpha,q}$ -classical (resp.  $\mathcal{S}_\lambda$ -classical) orthogonal polynomial. In Section 4, we give a conclusion and describe some prospects.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $\mathbb{P}$  be the linear space of polynomials in one variable with complex coefficients and  $\mathbb{P}'$  be its dual space, whose elements are *forms*. We denote by  $\langle u, p \rangle$  the action of  $u \in \mathbb{P}'$  on  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ . In particular, we denote by  $(u)_n := \langle u, x^n \rangle$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , the moments of  $u$ . Let us define the following operations in  $\mathbb{P}'$ . For any form  $u$ , any polynomial  $f$ , and any  $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \times \mathbb{C}^2$ , let  $Du = u'$ ,  $fu$ ,  $(x - c)^{-1}u$ ,  $\tau_{-b}u$  and  $h_a u$  be the forms defined by duality, [16]:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle fu, p \rangle &:= \langle u, fp \rangle, & \langle u', p \rangle &:= -\langle u, p' \rangle, & (fu)' &= f'u + fu', \\ \langle h_a u, p \rangle &:= \langle u, p(ax) \rangle, & \langle \tau_{-b}u, p \rangle &:= \langle u, p(x - b) \rangle, \\ \langle (x - c)^{-1}u, p \rangle &:= \left\langle u, \frac{p(x) - p(c)}{x - c} \right\rangle, & p &\in \mathbb{P}. \end{aligned}$$

A form  $u$  is called *normalized* if it satisfies  $(u)_0 = 1$ . We assume that the forms used in this paper are normalized.

Let  $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  be a sequence of monic polynomials (MPS) with  $\deg P_n = n$  and let  $\{u_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  be its dual sequence,  $u_n \in \mathbb{P}'$ , defined by  $\langle u_n, P_m \rangle = \delta_{n,m}$ ,  $n, m \geq 0$ . Notice that  $u_0$  is said to be the canonical functional associated with the MPS  $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ . The sequence  $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is called symmetric when  $P_n(-x) = (-1)^n P_n(x)$ ,  $n \geq 0$ .

Let us recall the following result [17].

**Lemma 1.** *For any  $u \in \mathbb{P}'$  and any integer  $m \geq 1$ , the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\langle u, P_{m-1} \rangle \neq 0$ ,  $\langle u, P_n \rangle = 0$ ,  $n \geq m$ .
- (ii)  $\exists \lambda_\nu \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $0 \leq \nu \leq m - 1$ ,  $\lambda_{m-1} \neq 0$  such that  $u = \sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} \lambda_\nu u_\nu$ .

As a consequence, the dual sequence  $\{u_n^{[1]}\}_{n \geq 0}$  of  $\{P_n^{[1]}\}_{n \geq 0}$  where

$$P_n^{[1]}(x) := (n + 1)^{-1} P'_{n+1}(x), \quad n \geq 0,$$

is given by

$$Du_n^{[1]} = -(n + 1)u_{n+1}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Similarly, the dual sequence  $\{\tilde{u}_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  of  $\{\tilde{P}_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ , where

$$\tilde{P}_n(x) := a^{-n} P_n(ax + b)$$

with  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \times \mathbb{C}$ , is given by

$$\tilde{u}_n = a^n (h_{a^{-1}} \circ \tau_{-b})u_n, \quad n \geq 0.$$

The form  $u$  is called *regular* if we can associate with it a sequence  $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  such that

$$\langle u, P_n P_m \rangle = r_n \delta_{n,m}, \quad n, m \geq 0, \quad r_n \neq 0, \quad n \geq 0.$$

The sequence  $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is then called a monic *orthogonal* polynomial sequence (MOPS) with respect to  $u$ . Note that  $u = (u)_0 u_0$ , with  $(u)_0 \neq 0$ . When  $u$  is regular, let  $F$  be a polynomial such that  $Fu = 0$ . Then  $F = 0$ , [16].

**Proposition 1** [16]. *Let  $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  be a MPS with  $\deg P_n = n$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , and let  $\{u_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  be its dual sequence. The following statements are equivalent.*

- (i)  $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is orthogonal with respect to  $u_0$ .
- (ii)  $u_n = \langle u_0, P_n^2 \rangle^{-1} P_n u_0$ ,  $n \geq 0$ .
- (iii)  $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  satisfies the three-term recurrence relation

$$\begin{cases} P_0(x) = 1, & P_1(x) = x - \beta_0, \\ P_{n+2}(x) = (x - \beta_{n+1})P_{n+1}(x) - \gamma_{n+1}P_n(x), & n \geq 0, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\beta_n = \langle u_0, xP_n^2 \rangle \langle u_0, P_n^2 \rangle^{-1}$ ,  $n \geq 0$  and  $\gamma_{n+1} = \langle u_0, P_{n+1}^2 \rangle \langle u_0, P_n^2 \rangle^{-1} \neq 0$ ,  $n \geq 0$ .

If  $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is a MOPS with respect to the regular form  $u_0$ , then  $\{\tilde{P}_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is a MOPS with respect to the regular form  $\tilde{u}_0 = (h_{a^{-1}} \circ \tau_{-b})u_0$ , and satisfies [15]

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{P}_0(x) = 1, & \tilde{P}_1(x) = x - \tilde{\beta}_0, \\ \tilde{P}_{n+2}(x) = (x - \tilde{\beta}_{n+1})\tilde{P}_{n+1}(x) - \tilde{\gamma}_{n+1}\tilde{P}_n(x), & n \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $\tilde{\beta}_n = a^{-1}(\beta_n - b)$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}_{n+1} = a^{-2}\gamma_{n+1}$ .

A MOPS  $\{p_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is called *D-classical*, if  $\{Dp_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is also orthogonal (*Hermite, Laguerre, Bessel or Jacobi*), [10, 11]. Moreover, if  $\{p_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is orthogonal with respect to  $u_0$ , then there exists a monic polynomial  $\phi$  with  $\deg \phi \leq 2$  and a polynomial  $\psi$  with  $\deg \psi = 1$  such that  $u_0$  satisfies a *Pearson's equation* (PE) [15]

$$D(\phi u_0) + \psi u_0 = 0.$$

Any shift leaves invariant the *D-classical* character. Indeed, the shifted linear functional  $\tilde{u} = (h_{a^{-1}} \circ \tau_{-b})u$  fulfills the equation

$$(\tilde{\Phi}\tilde{u})' + \tilde{\Psi}\tilde{u} = 0,$$

where (see [15, 16])

$$\tilde{\Phi}(x) = a^{-t}\Phi(ax + b) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\Psi}(x) = a^{1-t}\Psi(ax + b).$$

### 3. Hahn's problem with respect to some perturbations of the raising operator $X - c$

Clearly, the orthogonality is not preserved by the operator  $X - c$ , which is given by

$$(X - c)(f(x)) = (x - c)f(x), \quad f \in \mathbb{P}.$$

Our goal, in this section is to describe all *O-classical* orthogonal polynomials. More precisely, we find all orthogonal polynomial sequences  $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  such that  $\{OP_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  are also orthogonal, where  $\mathcal{O} = H_{\alpha,q}$  or  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{S}_\lambda$  are the operators defined by (1.1) and (1.2). This operators are two perturbations of the operator  $X - c$  where  $c = 0$  and  $c = 1$ .

### 3.1. Orthogonal polynomials via raising operator $X - \alpha H_q$

Let us introduce the following lemma.

**Lemma 2** [12]. *The following properties hold*

$$\begin{aligned} H_q(fg)(x) &= f(x)(H_qg)(x) + g(x)(H_qf)(x) + (q-1)x(H_qf)(x)(H_qg)(x), \quad f, g \in \mathcal{P}, \\ H_q(fu) &= (h_{q^{-1}}f)H_qu + q^{-1}(H_{q^{-1}}f)u, \quad f \in \mathcal{P}, \quad u \in \mathcal{P}'. \end{aligned}$$

where

$$H_qf(x) = \frac{h_qf(x) - f(x)}{(q-1)x}, \quad q \neq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad h_qf(x) = f(qx).$$

Now, recall the operator

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\alpha,q} : \mathbb{P} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{P}, \\ f &\longmapsto H_{\alpha,q}(f) := xf + \alpha H_q(f). \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 1.** *We call a sequence  $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  of orthogonal polynomials  $H_{\alpha,q}$ -classical if there exists a sequence  $\{Q_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  of orthogonal polynomials such that  $H_{\alpha,q}P_n = Q_{n+1}$ ,  $n \geq 0$ .*

For any MPS  $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  we define the MPS  $\{Q_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ , given by

$$Q_{n+1}(x) := H_{\alpha,q}P_n(x), \quad n \geq 0,$$

or equivalently

$$Q_{n+1}(x) := xP_n(x) + \alpha(H_qP_n)(x), \quad n \geq 0, \quad (3.1)$$

with initial value  $Q_0(x) = 1$ .

Our next goal is to describe all the  $H_{\alpha,q}$ -classical polynomial sequences. Note that, we need  $\alpha \neq 0$  to ensure that  $\{Q_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is an orthogonal sequence. Indeed, if we suppose that  $\alpha = 0$ , the relation (3.1) becomes, for  $x = 0$ ,  $Q_{n+1}(0) = 0$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , which contradicts the orthogonality of  $\{Q_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ .

Clearly, the operator  $H_{\alpha,q}$  raises the degree of any polynomial. Such operator is called *raising operator* [9, 13, 19]. By transposition of the operator  $H_{\alpha,q}$ , we get

$${}^tH_{\alpha,q} = X - \alpha H_q. \quad (3.2)$$

Denote by  $\{u_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  and  $\{v_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  the dual basis in  $\mathbb{P}'$  corresponding to  $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  and  $\{Q_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ , respectively. Then, according to Lemma 1 and (3.2), the relation

$$xv_{n+1} - \alpha H_q(v_{n+1}) = u_n, \quad n \geq 0, \quad (3.3)$$

holds. Assume that  $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  and  $\{Q_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  are MOPS satisfying

$$\begin{cases} P_0(x) = 1, & P_1(x) = x - \beta_0, \\ P_{n+2}(x) = (x - \beta_{n+1})P_{n+1}(x) - \gamma_{n+1}P_n(x), & \gamma_{n+1} \neq 0, \quad n \geq 0, \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

$$\begin{cases} Q_0(x) = 1, & Q_1(x) = x - \rho_0, \\ Q_{n+2}(x) = (x - \rho_{n+1})Q_{n+1}(x) - \varrho_{n+1}Q_n(x), & \varrho_{n+1} \neq 0, \quad n \geq 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

Next, a first result will be deduced as a consequence of the relations (3.1), (3.4) and (3.5).

**Proposition 2.** *The sequences  $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  and  $\{Q_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  satisfy the following finite type relation*

$$P_n(x) + (q - 1)xH_q(P_n)(x) = q^n Q_n(x), \quad n \geq 0.$$

*P r o o f.* Using (3.4), we obtain

$$H_q(P_{n+2})(x) = H_q((x - \beta_{n+1})P_{n+1})(x) - \gamma_{n+1}H_q(P_n)(x), \quad n \geq 0.$$

According to the Lemma 2, we obtain for  $n \geq 0$

$$H_q(P_{n+2})(x) = (x - \beta_{n+1})H_q(P_{n+1})(x) + P_{n+1}(x) + (q - 1)xH_q(P_{n+1})(x) - \gamma_{n+1}H_q(P_n)(x),$$

or equivalently

$$xP_{n+2}(x) + \alpha(H_q P_{n+2})(x) = Q_{n+3}(x), \quad n \geq 0,$$

which gives us for  $n \geq 0$

$$(x - \beta_{n+1})xP_{n+1}(x) + \alpha(qx - \beta_{n+1})(H_q P_{n+1})(x) - \gamma_{n+1}(xP_n(x) + \alpha(H_q P_n)(x)) + \alpha P_{n+1}(x) = Q_{n+3}(x).$$

We use (3.1) and the last equation becomes for  $n \geq 0$

$$(x - \beta_{n+1})Q_{n+2}(x) + \alpha(q - 1)x(H_q P_{n+1})(x) - \gamma_{n+1}Q_{n+1}(x) + \alpha P_{n+1}(x) = Q_{n+3}(x). \quad (3.6)$$

Inserting (3.5) in (3.6), we obtain

$$\alpha P_{n+1}(x) + \alpha(q - 1)x(H_q P_{n+1})(x) = (\beta_{n+1} - \rho_{n+2})Q_{n+2}(x) + (\gamma_{n+1} - \varrho_{n+2})Q_{n+1}(x), \quad n \geq 0.$$

In fact, this result is valid for  $n + 1$  replaced by  $n$ . More precisely, we have for all  $n \geq 0$

$$\alpha P_n(x) + \alpha(q - 1)x(H_q P_n)(x) = (\beta_n - \rho_{n+1})Q_{n+1}(x) + (\gamma_n - \varrho_{n+1})Q_n(x),$$

with the convention  $\gamma_0 = 0$ . By comparing the degrees in the previous equation, we get  $\beta_n = \rho_{n+1}$ ,  $n \geq 0$  and  $\alpha q^n = \gamma_n - \varrho_{n+1}$ ,  $n \geq 0$ . Hence the desired result is proven.  $\square$

Note that, for  $n = 0$  the relation (3.1) gives  $\rho_0 = 0$ , for  $n = 1$  the Proposition 2 gives

$$(x - \beta_0) + (q - 1)x = qx - \rho_0 = qx,$$

then  $\beta_0 = \rho_1 = 0$ . Now we establish, in the next lemma, an algebraic relation between the forms  $u_0$  and  $v_0$ .

**Lemma 3.** *The forms  $u_0$  and  $v_0$  satisfy the following relation*

$$v_0 - (q - 1)H_q(xv_0) = u_0. \quad (3.7)$$

*P r o o f.* According to Proposition 2 we obtain

$$\langle v_0 - (q - 1)H_q(xv_0), P_n \rangle = 0, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (3.8)$$

On the other hand,

$$\langle v_0 - (q - 1)H_q(xv_0), P_0 \rangle = 1,$$

since  $\{Q_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is orthogonal with respect to the form  $v_0$ , where  $v_0$  is supposed normalized. According to Lemma 1 and using (3.8), we obtain the desired result.  $\square$

Based on the last lemma, we can state the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *The form  $v_0$  satisfies the following Pearson's equation*

$$(H_q v_0) - \frac{1}{\alpha} x v_0 = 0, \quad (3.9)$$

and then the scaled  $q$ -Hermite polynomial sequence is the only  $H_{\alpha,q}$ -classical sequence.

*P r o o f.* According to Proposition 1 (ii), the relation (3.3) can be written as follows

$$x Q_{n+1}(x) v_0 - \alpha H_q(Q_{n+1} v_0) = \lambda_n P_n(x) u_0, \quad n \geq 0, \quad (3.10)$$

where

$$\lambda_n := \langle v_0, Q_{n+1}^2 \rangle \langle u_0, P_n^2 \rangle^{-1}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Making  $n = 0$  in (3.10), we get

$$x^2 v_0 - \alpha H_q(x v_0) = -\alpha u_0, \quad (Q_1(x) = x, \quad \varrho_1 = -\alpha).$$

Substituting this relation in (3.7), we obtain

$$q H_q(x v_0) - \frac{1}{\alpha} (x^2 + \alpha) v_0 = 0.$$

Note that we have  $q H_q(x v_0) = x(H_q v_0) + v_0$ , then

$$(H_q v_0) - \frac{1}{\alpha} x v_0 = 0, \quad (3.11)$$

which gives

$$\left( (H_q v_0) - \frac{1}{\alpha} x v_0 \right)_{n+1} = 0, \quad n \geq 0,$$

and then

$$(v_0)_{n+2} = -\alpha [n]_q (v_0)_n, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Moreover,  $(v_0)_1 = \rho_1 = 0$ , hence  $(v_0)_{2n+1} = 0$ ,  $n \geq 0$ . We can conclude that  $\{Q_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is symmetric. Using the Proposition 2, we obtain

$$Q_n(x) = q^{-n} P_n(qx), \quad n \geq 0.$$

Then we also conclude that  $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is symmetric. Moreover, the relation (3.11) corresponds to a Pearson's equation of  $q$ -Hermite linear functional, hence  $Q_n(x)$  is the  $q$ -Hermite polynomial. In addition, we have  $Q_n(x) = q^{-n} P_n(qx)$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , then  $P_n(x)$  is the scaled  $q$ -Hermite polynomial.  $\square$

### 3.2. Orthogonal polynomials via raising operator $(X + 1) - \lambda \tau_{-1}$

In this part, we use the following lemma.

**Lemma 4** [1]. *The following properties hold*

$$\begin{aligned} D_w(fg)(x) &= f(x)(D_w g)(x) + g(x)(D_w f)(x) + w(D_w f)(x)(D_w g)(x), \quad f, g \in \mathcal{P}, \\ D_{-w}(fu) &= g(D_{-w} u) + (D_{-w} g)(\tau_w u), \quad f \in \mathcal{P}, \quad u \in \mathcal{P}', \\ \tau_b \circ D_w &= D_w \circ \tau_b \text{ in } \mathcal{P} \text{ and } \mathcal{P}', \quad b \in \mathbb{C}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$D_\omega f(x) := \frac{\tau_{-\omega} f(x) - f(x)}{\omega}, \quad \omega \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_{-\omega} f(x) = f(x + \omega).$$

Recall the operator

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_\lambda : \mathbb{P} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{P}, \\ f &\longmapsto \mathcal{S}_\lambda(f) = (x+1)(f) - \lambda\tau_{-1}f. \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 2.** We call a sequence  $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  of orthogonal polynomials  $\mathcal{S}_\lambda$ -classical if there exists a sequence  $\{Q_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  of orthogonal polynomials such that  $\mathcal{S}_\lambda P_n = Q_{n+1}$ ,  $n \geq 0$ .

For any MPS  $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  we define the MPS  $\{Q_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ , given by

$$Q_{n+1}(x) := \mathcal{S}_\lambda P_n(x), \quad n \geq 0, \quad (3.12)$$

or equivalently

$$Q_{n+1}(x) := (x+1)P_n(x) - \lambda P_n(x+1), \quad n \geq 0, \quad (3.13)$$

with initial value  $Q_0(x) = 1$ .

Our next goal is to describe all the  $\mathcal{S}_\lambda$ -classical polynomial sequences. Note that, we need  $\lambda \neq 0$  to ensure that  $\{Q_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is an orthogonal sequence. Indeed, if we suppose that  $\lambda = 0$ , the relation (3.13) becomes, for  $x = -1$ ,  $Q_{n+1}(-1) = 0$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , which contradicts the orthogonality of  $\{Q_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ .

Clearly, the operator  $\mathcal{S}_\lambda$  raises the degree of any polynomial. Such operator is called a *raising operator* [9, 13, 19]. By transposition of the operator  $\mathcal{S}_\lambda$ , we get

$${}^t\mathcal{S}_\lambda = (X+1) - \lambda\tau_1. \quad (3.14)$$

Denote by  $\{u_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  and  $\{v_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  the dual basis in  $\mathbb{P}'$  corresponding to  $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  and  $\{Q_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ , respectively. Then, according to Lemma 1 and (3.14), the relation

$$(x+1)v_{n+1} - \lambda\tau_1 v_{n+1} = u_n, \quad n \geq 0,$$

holds. Assume that  $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  and  $\{Q_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  are MOPS satisfying

$$\begin{cases} P_0(x) = 1, & P_1(x) = x - \beta_0, \\ P_{n+2}(x) = (x - \beta_{n+1})P_{n+1}(x) - \gamma_{n+1}P_n(x), & \gamma_{n+1} \neq 0, \quad n \geq 0, \end{cases} \quad (3.15)$$

$$\begin{cases} Q_0(x) = 1, & Q_1(x) = x - \rho_0, \\ Q_{n+2}(x) = (x - \rho_{n+1})Q_{n+1}(x) - \varrho_{n+1}Q_n(x), & \varrho_{n+1} \neq 0, \quad n \geq 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.16)$$

Next, a first result will be deduced as a consequence of the relations (3.13), (3.15) and (3.16).

**Proposition 3.** The sequences  $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  and  $\{Q_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  satisfy the following finite type relation

$$Q_n(x) = \tau_{-1}P_n(x), \quad n \geq 0,$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{n+1} &= \beta_n, \quad n \geq 0, \\ \varrho_{n+1} &= \gamma_n + \lambda, \quad n \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and with the convention  $\gamma_0 = 0$ .

P r o o f. Multiplying (3.15) by  $x + 1$ , we obtain

$$(x + 1)P_{n+2}(x) = (x - \beta_{n+1})(x + 1)P_{n+1}(x) - \gamma_{n+1}(x + 1)P_n(x), \quad n \geq 0.$$

Applying  $\lambda\tau_{-1}$  to the (3.15) and taking the difference between the two resulting equations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (x + 1)P_{n+2}(x) - \lambda(\tau_{-1}P_{n+2})(x) &= (x - \beta_{n+1})((x + 1)P_{n+1}(x) - \lambda(\tau_{-1}P_{n+1})(x)) \\ &\quad - \gamma_{n+1}((x + 1)P_n(x) - \lambda(\tau_{-1}P_n)(x)) - \lambda P_{n+1}(x + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (3.13) in the last equation, we get

$$Q_{n+3}(x) = (x - \beta_{n+1})Q_{n+2}(x) - \gamma_{n+1}Q_{n+1}(x) - \lambda P_{n+1}(x + 1), \quad n \geq 0.$$

Using the three-term recurrence relation (3.16), we get

$$\lambda P_{n+1}(x + 1) = (\rho_{n+2} - \beta_{n+1})Q_{n+2}(x) + (\varrho_{n+2} - \gamma_{n+1})Q_{n+1}(x), \quad n \geq 0.$$

In fact, this result is valid for  $n + 1$  replaced by  $n$ . Then, by comparing the degrees in the previous equation, we get  $\rho_{n+1} = \beta_n$  and  $\varrho_{n+1} = \gamma_n + \lambda$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , and  $Q_n(x) = \tau_{-1}P_n(x)$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , with the convention  $\gamma_0 = 0$ .  $\square$

The following result is a straightforward consequence of Proposition 3.

**Lemma 5.** *The forms  $u_0$  and  $v_0$  satisfy the following relation*

$$\tau_1 v_0 = u_0.$$

According to Lemma 5, and based on some characterizations of Charlier polynomials [1], we can state the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** *The Charlier polynomial sequence  $\{C_n^\lambda(x)\}_{n \geq 0}$  where  $\lambda > 0$ , is the only  $\mathcal{S}_\lambda$ -classical orthogonal sequence. More precisely, we have for  $n \geq 0$ :*

$$P_n(x) = C_n^\lambda(x), \tag{3.17}$$

$$Q_n(x) = C_n^\lambda(x + 1). \tag{3.18}$$

P r o o f. Assume that  $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is a monic  $\mathcal{S}_\lambda$ -classical orthogonal sequence. Then there exists a monic orthogonal sequence  $\{Q_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  satisfying (3.13), which gives by transposition the following system

$$\langle v_0, (x + 1)P_n(x) - \lambda P_n(x + 1) \rangle = \langle v_0, Q_{n+1}(x) \rangle = 0, \quad n \geq 0.$$

But the left hand side reads as

$$\langle (x + 1)v_0 - \lambda\tau_1 v_0, P_n(x) \rangle = 0, \quad n \geq 0.$$

In other words,

$$(x + 1)v_0 - \lambda\tau_1 v_0 = 0.$$

Applying the operator  $\tau_{-1}$ , we obtain

$$(x + 2)\tau_{-1}v_0 - \lambda v_0 = 0.$$

Equivalently,

$$(x + 1)\tau_{-1}v_0 + \tau_{-1}v_0 - (x + 1)v_0 + (x + 1)v_0 - \lambda v_0 = 0,$$



which also gives

$$(x + 1)[\tau_{-1}v_0 - v_0] + \tau_{-1}v_0 + (x + 1)v_0 - \lambda v_0 = 0,$$

or equivalently

$$(x + 1)D_1v_0 + \tau_{-1}v_0 + (x + 1)v_0 - \lambda v_0 = 0.$$

By using Lemma 4, the last relation becomes

$$D_1(x(\tau_1v_0)) + (x - \lambda)(\tau_1v_0) = 0,$$

which means that  $v_0 = \tau_{-1}C(\lambda)$ , where  $C(\lambda)$  is the Charlier form with  $\lambda > 0$ . In addition, using the Proposition 3, we obtain that  $P_n(x) = C_n^\lambda(x)$  are the monic Charlier polynomials and then

$$Q_n(x) = C_n^\lambda(x + 1), \quad n \geq 0.$$

□

#### 4. Conclusion and prospects

We described Hahn's problem for some perturbed raising operators of the operator  $X - c$  using the Pearson equation, which is satisfied by the corresponding linear functionals. Indeed, we have proved that the  $q$ -Hermite (resp. Charlier) polynomial is the only  $H_{\alpha,q}$ -classical (resp.  $\mathcal{S}_\lambda$ -classical) orthogonal polynomial, where  $H_{\alpha,q} := X + \alpha H_q$  and  $\mathcal{S}_\lambda := (X + 1) - \lambda \tau_{-1}$ .

Now, using (3.17), (3.18) and (3.12), we obtain

$$\mathcal{S}_\lambda C_n^\lambda(x) = C_{n+1}^\lambda(x + 1), \quad n \geq 0,$$

which gives, by induction, the following formula

$$\mathcal{S}_\lambda^{(m)} C_n^\lambda(x) = C_{n+m}^\lambda(x + m), \quad n \geq 0, \tag{4.1}$$

where  $\mathcal{S}_\lambda^{(m)} = \mathcal{S}_\lambda^{(m)} \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{S}_\lambda^{(m)}$ .

Making  $n = 0$  in (4.1) we get

$$\mathcal{S}_\lambda^{(m)}(1) = C_m^\lambda(x + m), \quad m \geq 0.$$

For prospects, we can replace the operator  $H_q$  in Subsection 3.1 by the Dunkl operator ( $T_\mu := D + 2\mu H_{-1}$ , see [6]) and study the same problem. Indeed, we have [6]

$$\left(X - \frac{1}{2}T_\mu\right)H_n^\mu(x) = \frac{\gamma_\mu(n+1)}{2\gamma_\mu(n)(n+1)}H_{n+1}^\mu(x), \quad n \geq 0, \tag{4.2}$$

where  $H_n^\mu(x)$  is the monic generalized Hermite polynomial and where  $\gamma_\mu(n)$  is defined by

$$\gamma_\mu(2m) = \frac{2^{2m}m!\Gamma(m + \mu + 1/2)}{\Gamma(\mu + 1/2)}, \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_\mu(2m + 1) = \frac{2^{2m+1}m!\Gamma(m + \mu + 1/2)}{\Gamma(\mu + 3/2)}.$$

In view of (4.2), we can say that  $\{H_n^\mu\}_{n \geq 0}$  is an  $\mathcal{O}$ -classical polynomial sequence, since it fulfills Hahn's property relatively to the raising operator

$$\mathcal{O} := X - \frac{1}{2}T_\mu,$$

i.e., it is an orthogonal polynomial sequence whose sequence of  $\mathcal{O}$ -derivatives is also orthogonal.

## Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the referees for their corrections and many valuable suggestions.

## REFERENCES

1. Abdelkarim F., Maroni P. The  $D_\omega$ -classical orthogonal polynomials. *Result. Math.*, 1997. Vol. 32, No. 1–2. P. 1–28. DOI: [10.1007/BF03322520](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03322520)
2. Aloui B. Characterization of Laguerre polynomials as orthogonal polynomials connected by the Laguerre degree raising shift operator. *Ramanujan J.*, 2018. Vol. 45, No. 2. P. 475–481. DOI: [10.1007/s11139-017-9901-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11139-017-9901-x)
3. Aloui B. Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind via raising operator preserving the orthogonality. *Period. Math. Hung.*, 2018. Vol. 76, No. 1. P. 126–132. DOI: [10.1007/s10998-017-0219-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10998-017-0219-7)
4. Aloui B., Khériji L. Connection formulas and representations of Laguerre polynomials in terms of the action of linear differential operators. *Probl. Anal. Issues Anal.*, 2019. Vol. 8(26), No. 3. P. 24–37. DOI: [10.15393/j3.art.2019.6290](https://doi.org/10.15393/j3.art.2019.6290)
5. Area I., Godoy A., Ronveaux A., Zarzo A. Classical symmetric orthogonal polynomials of a discrete variable. *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.*, 2004. Vol. 15, No. 1. P. 1–12. DOI: [10.1080/10652460310001600672](https://doi.org/10.1080/10652460310001600672)
6. Ben Cheikh Y., Gaied M. Characterization of the Dunkl-classical symmetric orthogonal polynomials. *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 2007. Vol. 187, No. 1. P. 105–114. DOI: [10.1016/j.amc.2006.08.108](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2006.08.108)
7. Ben Salah I., Ghressi A., Khériji L. A characterization of symmetric  $T_\mu$ -classical monic orthogonal polynomials by a structure relation. *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.*, 2014. Vol. 25, No. 6. P. 423–432. DOI: [10.1080/10652469.2013.870339](https://doi.org/10.1080/10652469.2013.870339)
8. Bouanani A., Khériji L., Tounsi M.I. Characterization of  $q$ -Dunkl Appell symmetric orthogonal  $q$ -polynomials. *Expo. Math.*, 2010. Vol. 28, No. 4. P. 325–336. DOI: [10.1016/j.exmath.2010.03.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exmath.2010.03.003)
9. Chaggara H. Operational rules and a generalized Hermite polynomials. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 2007. Vol. 332, No. 1. P. 11–21. DOI: [10.1016/j.jmaa.2006.09.068](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2006.09.068)
10. Chihara T.S. *An Introduction to Orthogonal Polynomials*. New York: Gordon and Breach, 1978. 249 p.
11. Hahn W. Über die Jacobischen polynome und zwei verwandte polynomklassen. *Math. Z.*, 1935. Vol. 39. P. 634–638.
12. Khériji L., Maroni P. The  $H_q$ -classical orthogonal polynomials. *Acta. Appl. Math.*, 2002. Vol. 71, No. 1. P. 49–115. DOI: [10.1023/A:1014597619994](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1014597619994)
13. Koornwinder T.H. Lowering and raising operators for some special orthogonal polynomials. In: *Jack, Hall-Littlewood and Macdonald Polynomials*, V.B. Kuznetsov, S. Sahi (eds.). *Contemp. Math.*, vol. 417, 2006. P. 227–239. DOI: [10.1090/conm/417](https://doi.org/10.1090/conm/417)
14. Maroni P., Mejri M. The  $I_{(q,\omega)}$ -classical orthogonal polynomials. *Appl. Numer. Math.*, 2002. Vol. 43, No. 4. P. 423–458. DOI: [10.1016/S0168-9274\(01\)00180-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9274(01)00180-5)
15. Maroni P. *Fonctions Eulériennes, Polynômes Orthogonaux Classiques*. Techniques de l'Ingénieur, Traité Généralités (Sciences Fondamentales), 1994. Vol. 154 A. Paris. P. 1–30.
16. Maroni P. Une théorie algébrique des polynômes orthogonaux. Application aux polynômes orthogonaux semi-classiques. In: *Orthogonal Polynomials and their Applications*, C. Brezinski et al. (eds.). *IMACS Ann. Comput. Appl. Math.*, vol. 9. Basel: Baltzer, 1991. P. 95–130.
17. Maroni P. Variations autour des polynômes orthogonaux classiques. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 1991. Vol. 313. P. 209–212.
18. Sonine N. J. On the approximate computation of definite integrals and on the entire functions occurring there. *Warsch. Univ. Izv.*, 1887. Vol. 18. P. 1–76.
19. Srivastava H. M., Ben Cheikh Y. Orthogonality of some polynomial sets via quasi-monomiality. *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 2003. Vol. 141, No. 2–3. P. 415–425. DOI: [10.1016/S0096-3003\(02\)00961-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0096-3003(02)00961-X)