

ON DISTANCE-REGULAR GRAPHS OF DIAMETER 3 WITH EIGENVALUE $\theta = 1$

Alexander A. Makhnev[†], Ivan N. Belousov^{††}, Konstantin S. Efimov^{†††}

Krasovskii Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics,
Ural Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences,
16 S. Kovalevskaya Str., Ekaterinburg, 620108, Russian Federation

Ural Federal University,
19 Mira str., Ekaterinburg, 620002, Russian Federation

[†]makhnev@imm.uran.ru ^{††}i_belousov@mail.ru ^{†††}konstantin.s.efimov@gmail.com

Abstract: For a distance-regular graph Γ of diameter 3, the graph Γ_i can be strongly regular for $i = 2$ or 3. J. Kullen and co-authors found the parameters of a strongly regular graph Γ_2 given the intersection array of the graph Γ (independently, the parameters were found by A.A. Makhnev and D.V. Paduchikh). In this case, Γ has an eigenvalue $a_2 - c_3$. In this paper, we study graphs Γ with strongly regular graph Γ_2 and eigenvalue $\theta = 1$. In particular, we prove that, for a Q -polynomial graph from a series of graphs with intersection arrays $\{2c_3 + a_1 + 1, 2c_3, c_3 + a_1 - c_2; 1, c_2, c_3\}$, the equality $c_3 = 4(t^2 + t)/(4t + 4 - c_2^2)$ holds. Moreover, for $t \leq 100000$, there is a unique feasible intersection array $\{9, 6, 3; 1, 2, 3\}$ corresponding to the Hamming (or Doob) graph $H(3, 4)$. In addition, we found parametrizations of intersection arrays of graphs with $\theta_2 = 1$ and $\theta_3 = a_2 - c_3$.

Keywords: Strongly regular graph, Distance-regular graph, Intersection array.

1. Introduction

We consider undirected graphs without loops and multiple edges.

Let Γ be a connected graph. The *distance* $d(a, b)$ between two vertices a, b of Γ is the length of a shortest path between a and b in Γ . For a vertex a of Γ , denote by $\Gamma_i(a)$ the induced subgraph on the set of all vertices at distance i from a in Γ . Let Γ be a graph with diameter d and let a and b be vertices of Γ at distance i ($0 \leq i \leq d$). Then the number of vertices that are at distance j from a and h from b is denoted by $p_{jh}^i(a, b)$ ($0 \leq i, j, h \leq d$) and is called an intersection number of Γ . Note that $p_{jh}^i(a, b) = |\Gamma_j(a) \cap \Gamma_h(b)|$. Consider the numbers $c_i(a, b) = p_{i,1}^i(a, b)$, $a_i(a, b) = p_{i,1}^i(a, b)$, and $b_i(a, b) = p_{i+1,1}^i(a, b)$. If the intersection numbers do not depend on the choice of a and b but only on i , then these numbers are denoted simply by p_{jh}^i ($0 \leq i, j, h \leq d$). In this case, Γ of diameter d is called a *distance-regular graph* with intersection array $(b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{d-1}; c_1, \dots, c_d)$.

If a and b are vertices of the graph Γ , then we denote by $d(a, b)$ the distance between a and b . Given a vertex a in a graph Γ , we denote by $\Gamma_i(a)$ the subgraph induced by Γ on the set of all vertices at the distance i from a . The subgraph $\Gamma_1(a)$ is called the *neighbourhood of the vertex a* and is denoted by $[a]$, if the graph Γ is fixed.

Let Γ be a graph of diameter d and $i \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, d\}$. The graph Γ_i have the same set of vertices, and vertices u and w are adjacent in Γ_i if $d_\Gamma(u, w) = i$. For a subset of vertices Y from Γ , we denote by $\Gamma_i(Y)$ the subgraph with the set of vertices Y in which PI vertices u and w are adjacent if $d_\Gamma(u, w) = i$.

An incidence system with a set of points P and a set of lines \mathcal{L} is called an α -*partial geometry of order (s, t)* if each line contains exactly $s + 1$ points, each point lies exactly on $t + 1$ lines, any two

points lie on at most one line, and, for any antiflag $(a, l) \in (P, \mathcal{L})$, there is exactly α lines passing through a and intersecting l (the notation is $pG_\alpha(s, t)$).

A *point graph* of a geometry of points and lines is a graph whose vertices are points of the geometry, and two different vertices are adjacent if they lie on a common line. It is easy to see that a point graph of a partial geometry $pG_\alpha(s, t)$ is strongly regular with parameters $v = (s+1)(1+st/\alpha)$, $k = s(t+1)$, $\lambda = (s-1) + (\alpha-1)t$, and $\mu = \alpha(t+1)$. A strongly regular graph having the above parameters for some positive integers α, s , and t is called a *pseudogeometric graph* for $pG_\alpha(s, t)$.

The direct problem in the theory of distance-regular graphs is, given an intersection array, to find the parameters of a symmetric structure corresponding to a graph with this intersection array. The inverse problem is finding the intersection array of a distance-regular graph given the parameters of the corresponding symmetric structure.

If, for a distance-regular graph Γ of diameter 3, the graph Γ_3 is strongly regular, then, by [1, Lemma 3], the graph $\bar{\Gamma}_3$ is pseudogeometric for $pG_{c_3}(k, b_1/c_2)$. Conversely, for the graph $\bar{\Gamma}_3$, which is pseudogeometric for $pG_\alpha(l, t)$, the graph Γ has an intersection array $\{l, tc_2, l-\alpha+1; 1, c_2, \alpha\}$, where $l > tc_2 \geq l - \alpha + 1$ and $c_2 \leq \alpha$.

Let Γ be a non-bipartite distance-regular graph of diameter 3. By [2, Lemma 3.1], the graph Γ_2 is strongly regular if and only if Γ has the eigenvalue $\theta = a_2 - c_3$.

The inverse problem was solved by A.A. Makhnev and D.V. Paduchickh. Let Γ be a distance-regular graph of diameter 3, for which Γ_2 is a strongly regular graph with parameters $(v, \kappa, \lambda, \mu)$ and eigenvalues κ, r , and $-s$. Then for $x = b_2 + c_2 \leq rs$ and $\mu x \neq rs(r+1)(s-1)$ the parameters of the intersection array of the graph Γ are expressed in terms of $\kappa, \mu, r, -s$, and x ([3, Theorem 2]).

We continue the study of distance-regular graphs Γ of diameter 3 with strongly regular graph Γ_2 and eigenvalue $\theta_2 = 1$.

The following result is obtained in [2, Lemma 4.5].

Proposition 1. *Let Γ be a non-bipartite distance-regular graph of diameter 3 with eigenvalue $\theta_2 = a_2 - c_3 = 1$. The following statements hold:*

- (1) *the eigenvalues θ_1 and θ_3 are integer, $\theta_1 + \theta_3 = a_1$;*
- (2) *$c_3(c_2 + 2) = -(\theta_1 + 1)(\theta_3 + 1)$;*
- (3) *Γ has the intersection array $\{2c_3 + a_1 + 1, 2c_3, c_3 + a_1 - c_2; 1, c_2, c_3\}$.*

By Proposition 1, the graph Γ with $\theta_2 = a_2 - c_3 = 1$ and $n = a_1^2 + 4(c_2 + 2)c_3 + 4a_1 + 4$ has non-principal eigenvalues 1 and $a_1/2 \pm \sqrt{n}$, where the multiplicity of 1 is equal to

$$(2a_1 - c_2 + 4c_3 + 2)(a_1 + 2c_3 + 1)c_3 / (c_2c_3 + 2a_1 + 2c_3).$$

This implies that n is a square and the multiplicity of $a_1/2 \pm \sqrt{n}$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &4(2a_1 - c_2 + 4c_3 + 2)(a_1 - c_2 + c_3)(a_1 + 2c_3 + 1)(a_1 + 2c_3) / ((2a_1^3 - a_1^2c_2 + 2a_1^2c_3 \\ &+ 8a_1c_2c_3 - 4c_2^2c_3 + 8c_2c_3^2 + \sqrt{n}(2a_1^2 - a_1c_2 + 2a_1c_3 + 2c_2c_3 + 2c_2) \\ &+ 8a_1^2 - 4a_1c_2 + 24a_1c_3 - 8c_2c_3 + 16c_3^2 + 8a_1 - 4c_2 + 8c_3)c_2). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1. *Let Γ be a Q -polynomial distance-regular graph of diameter 3 with strongly regular graph Γ_2 . If Γ has an eigenvalue $\theta = a_2 - c_3 = 1$, then $c_3 = 4(t^2 + t)/(4t + 4 - c_2^2)$ and Γ has the intersection array $\{(c_2^2 + 4c_2 + 4t + 4)(t + 1)/(4t + 4 - c_2^2), 8(t + 1)t/(4t + 4 - c_2^2), (c_2 + t + 2)c_2^2/(4t + 4 - c_2^2); 1, c_2, 4(t^2 + t)/(4t + 4 - c_2^2)\}$.*

For $t \leq 100000$, there is only one feasible intersection array $\{9, 6, 3; 1, 2, 3\}$ ($t = c_2 = 2$) corresponding to the Hamming graph $H(3, 4)$ or the Doob graph with the same parameters.

We found parametrizations of distance-regular graphs of diameter 3 with eigenvalues $\theta_2 = 1 \neq \theta_3 = a_2 - c_3$.

Theorem 2. *Let Γ be a distance-regular graph of diameter 3 with strongly regular graph Γ_2 . If Γ has the eigenvalue $\theta_2 = 1 \neq a_2 - c_3$, then Γ has the intersection array $\{(2n+r)t+1, 2(n-1)t, r(t-1); 1, n+r+1, 2nt\}$ or $\{(2n+r)t+n+r+1, (n-1)(2t+1), r(2t-1); 1, n+2r+1, n(2t+1)\}$.*

The following examples of graphs with eigenvalues $\theta_2 = 1 \neq \theta_3 = a_2 - c_3$ are known:

- (1) $\{21, 10, 3; 1, 6, 15\}$, half 7-cube with spectrum $21^1, 9^7, 1^{21}, -3^{35}$, $v = 1 + 21 + 35 + 7 = 64$, and Γ_2 is a graph with parameters $(64, 35, 18, 20)$;
- (2) $\{111, 88, 9; 1, 12, 99\}$ with spectrum $111^1, 21^{148}, 1^{444}, -9^{407}$, $v = 1 + 111 + 814 + 74 = 1000$, and Γ_2 is a strongly regular graph with parameters $(1000, 814, 663, 660)$.

For graphs from Theorem 2 for $n < 350, t < 1000$, we have only feasible intersection arrays $\{21, 10, 3; 1, 6, 15\}$, $\{111, 88, 9; 1, 12, 99\}$, $\{561, 448, 54; 1, 12, 504\}$, and $\{561, 448, 75; 1, 21, 480\}$.

2. Proof of Theorem 1

Let Γ be a Q -polynomial distance-regular graph of diameter 3 with eigenvalue $\theta_2 = a_2 - c_3 = 1$. By Proposition 1, the graph Γ has integer eigenvalues.

Lemma 1. $a_1 = (c_2 + 2)c_3/t - t - 2$ for some positive integer t .

P r o o f. We have

$$(a_1^2 + 4(c_2 + 2)c_3 + 4a_1 + 4) = u^2,$$

where u is a positive integer. Solving the Diophantine equation

$$u^2 - (a_1 + 2)^2 = 4(c_2 + 2)c_3,$$

we get

$$u = (c_2 + 2)c_3/t + t, \quad a_1 = (c_2 + 2)c_3/t - t - 2$$

for some positive integer t . □

Lemma 2. *The inequality $c_3 > t$ holds.*

P r o o f. We have

$$k = (c_2c_3 + 2c_3t - t^2 + 2c_3 - t)/t,$$

hence

$$(c_2c_3 + 2c_3t - t^2 + 2c_3 - t) > 0.$$

Further,

$$k_3 = 2(c_2c_3 + 2c_3t - t^2 + 2c_3 - t)(c_2 + t + 2)(c_3 - t)/(c_2t^2),$$

hence $c_3 > t$. □

Lemma 3. *The graph Γ is not Q -polynomial with respect to E_2 .*

P r o o f. Suppose that Γ is a Q -polynomial graph with respect to E_2 . Then, by [4], the equality

$$\begin{aligned} & -2(c_2c_3 + 2c_3t - t^2 + 2c_3 - 2t)(c_2 + 2t + 2)(2c_3 - t)(c_3 + 1)/((c_2c_3 + 2c_3 - 2t)(t + 2)t) \\ & = -(c_2c_3 + 2c_3t - t^2 + 2c_3 - 2t)(c_2 + 2t + 2)(2c_3 - t)(c_3 + 1)/((c_2c_3 + 2c_3 - 2t)(t + 2)t) \end{aligned}$$

holds and either $c_3 = (t^2 + 2t)/(c_2 + 2t + 2)$, or $c_3 = t/2$, or $c_3 = -1$.

In any case, we have a contradiction. \square

Lemma 4. *If Γ is not Q -polynomial with respect to E_1 , then $c_3 = 4(t^2 + t)/(4t + 4 - c_2^2)$.*

P r o o f. Let Γ be a Q -polynomial graph with respect to E_1 . Then, by [4], the following equality holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & -(c_2^2c_3^2 - c_2^2c_3t - c_2c_3t^2 + 4c_2c_3^2 - 4c_2c_3t + 2c_3t^2 - 2t^3 + 4c_3^2 - 4c_3t)(c_2c_3 + 2c_3t \\ & - t^2 + 2c_3 - 2t)(c_2 + 2t + 2)(2c_3 - t)/((c_2c_3 + t^2 + 2c_3)(c_2c_3 + 2c_3 - 2t)c_2t^2) \\ & = -(c_2^4c_3^3 + 4c_2^3c_3^3t - 5c_2^3c_3^2t^2 + 4c_2^2c_3^3t^2 + c_2^2c_3t^3 - 10c_2^2c_3^2t^3 + 4c_2^2c_3t^4 - 4c_2c_3^2t^4 \\ & + 4c_2c_3t^5 + 8c_2^3c_3^3 - 6c_2^3c_3^2t + 24c_2^2c_3^3t - 42c_2^2c_3^2t^2 + 16c_2c_3^3t^2 + 16c_2^2c_3t^3 - 40c_2c_3^2t^3 \\ & + 24c_2c_3t^4 + 24c_2^2c_3^3 - 36c_2^2c_3^2t + 48c_2c_3^3t + 12c_2^2c_3t^2 - 108c_2c_3^2t^2 + 16c_3^3t^2 \\ & + 68c_2c_3t^3 - 40c_3^2t^3 - 8c_2t^4 + 32c_3t^4 - 8t^5 + 32c_2c_3^3 - 72c_2c_3^2t + 32c_3^3t + 48c_2c_3t^2 \\ & - 88c_3^2t^2 - 8c_2t^3 + 80c_3t^3 - 24t^4 + 16c_3^3 - 48c_3^2t + 48c_3t^2 - 16t^3)(c_2c_3 + 2c_3t - t^2 + 2c_3 \\ & - 2t)(2c_3 - t)/((c_2c_3 + 2c_3t - 2t^2 + 2c_3 - 2t)(c_2c_3 + t^2 + 2c_3)(c_2c_3 + 2c_3 - 2t)c_2t^2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$c_3 \in \left\{ 4(t^2 + t)/(4t + 4 - c_2^2), (2t^3 + (t^2 + 2t)c_2 + 4t^2 + 4t)/(c_2^2 + 2c_2(t + 2) + 2t^2 + 4t + 4), \right. \\ \left. (t^2 + 2t)/(c_2 + 2t + 2), 1/2t \right\}.$$

The latter three cases contradict Lemma 2. \square

Theorem 1 is proved. \square

3. Proof of Theorem 2

Let Γ be a non-bipartite distance-regular graph of diameter 3 with eigenvalues

$$\theta_1 = a_1 - 1, \quad \theta_2 = 1, \quad \theta_3 = a_2 - c_3.$$

By [2, Lemma 3.1(v)], we have $b_1 = (a_2 - c_3 + 1)c_3/(a_2 - c_3)$. This implies the following statement.

Lemma 5. *One of the following equalities holds:*

- (1) $c_3 = (c_3 - a_2)m$, where m is a positive integer not exceeding 1;
- (2) $k = b_2 + c_2 + c_3 + 1$;
- (3) $k = b_2 + c_2 + c_3 - 1$.

In the second case, we have $a_2 - c_3 = 1$. In the third case, we have $a_2 - c_3 = -1$, a contradiction with [2, Lemma 3.1(b)].

Hence,

$$c_3 = (c_3 - a_2)m, \quad a_2m = c_3(m - 1), \quad a_2 = (m - 1)n,$$

$b_1 = mn - m$ for some positive integer n greater than 1.

The non-principal eigenvalues $a_1 - 1$ and 1 are roots of the quadratic equation

$$x^2 - (b_2 + c_2 + m - n - 1)x + c_2m - (m - 1)n - b_2 - c_2 = 0.$$

Hence,

$$a_1 = k - a_2 + m - n - 1$$

and

$$a_1 - 1 = c_2m - (m - 1)n - k + a_2.$$

Hence

$$k = a_1 + 1 + mn - m, \quad k + a_1 - 1 = c_2m, \quad 2a_1 = m(c_2 - n + 1).$$

If $m = 2t$, then $c_2 = n + r + 1$, $a_1 = t(r + 2)$, $b_1 = 2t(n - 1)$, and Γ has the intersection array

$$\{t(2n + r) + 1, 2t(n - 1), rt - r; 1, n + r + 1, 2nt\}$$

and the non-principal eigenvalues $rt + 2t - 1$, 1, and $-n$ of multiplicities

$$\begin{aligned} & (2nt + rt + n + 1)(2nt + rt + 1)(2n + r)(t - 1)(n - 1)/((rt + n + 2t - 1)(rt + 2t - 2)(n + r + 1)n), \\ & (2nt + rt + n + 1)(2nt + rt + 1)(nt - t + 1)(n - 1)r/((rt + 2t - 2)(n + r + 1)(n + 1)n), \\ & 2(2nt + rt + 1)(nt - t + 1)(2n + r)t/((rt + n + 2t - 1)(n + 1)n), \end{aligned}$$

respectively.

If $m = 2t + 1$, then

$$c_2 = n + 2r + 1, \quad a_1 = (2t + 1)r, \quad b_1 = (2t + 1)(n - 1),$$

and Γ has the intersection array

$$\{(2t + 1)(n + r - 1) + 1, (2t + 1)(n - 1), 2rt - r; 1, n + 2r + 1, 2nt + n\}$$

and the non-principal eigenvalues $r(2t + 1) + 2t$, 1, and $-n$ of multiplicities

$$\begin{aligned} & (2nt + 2rt + 2n + r + 1)(2nt + 2rt + n + r + 1)(n + r)(n - 1)(2t - 1)/((2rt + n + r + 2t) \\ & \quad \times (2rt + r + 2t - 1)(n + 2r + 1)n), \\ & (2nt + 2rt + 2n + r + 1)(2nt + 2rt + n + r + 1)(2nt + n - 2t + 1)(n - 1)r/((2rt + r + 2t - 1) \\ & \quad \times (n + 2r + 1)(n + 1)n), \\ & (2nt + 2rt + n + r + 1)(2nt + n - 2t + 1)(n + r)(2t + 1)/((2rt + n + r + 2t)(n + 1)n), \end{aligned}$$

respectively.

Theorem 2 is proved. □

REFERENCES

1. Makhnev A. A., Nirova M. S. Distance-regular Shilla graphs with $b_2 = c_2$. *Math. Notes*, 2018. Vol. 103, No. 5. P. 780–792. DOI: [10.1134/S0001434618050103](https://doi.org/10.1134/S0001434618050103)
2. Iqbal Q., Koolen J. H., Park J., Rehman M. U. Distance-regular graphs with diameter 3 and eigenvalue $a_2 - c_3$. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 2020. Vol. 587. P. 271–290. DOI: [10.1016/j.laa.2019.10.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2019.10.021)
3. Makhnev A. A., Paduchikh D. V. Inverse problems in the theory of distance-regular graphs. *Trudy Inst. Mat. i Mekh. UrO RAN*, 2018. Vol. 24, No. 3. P. 133–144. DOI: [10.21538/0134-4889-2018-24-3-133-144](https://doi.org/10.21538/0134-4889-2018-24-3-133-144)
4. Terwilliger P. A new inequality for distance-regular graphs. *Discrete Math.*, 1995. Vol. 137, No. 1–3. P. 319–332. DOI: [10.1016/0012-365X\(93\)E0170-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-365X(93)E0170-9)